Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/771,673	SPREY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sylvia R MacArthur</u> .	(3) <u>Adeel Akhtar</u> .	
(2) <u>Zi Wong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 15 and 28		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a writt arate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
, Sholdadl		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 09/771,673

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Wong and Mr. Akhtar to explain that the case was in condiition of allowance except that claims 15 and 28 which do not distinguish piping system from gaskets, flanges, etc which are typically constructed of a different material that the pipes. Mr. Wong asked that the examiner submit an examiner's amendment to insert "walls of" the auxilliary chamber and "walls of" the piping system. He stated that support can be seen on page 5 and is described on Fig. 1.